arXiv:1512.07350v1 [math.AP] 23 Dec 2015

Solvability for Stokes system in Hölder spaces in bounded domains and its applications

Tongkeun Chang and Kyungkeun Kang

Abstract

We consider Stokes system in bounded domains and we present conditions of given data, in particular, boundary data, which ensure Hölder continuity of solutions. For Hölder continuous solutions for the Stokes system the normal component of boundary data requires a bit more regular than boundary data of Hölder continuous solutions for the heat equation. We also construct an example, which shows that Hölder continuity is no longer valid, unless the proposed condition of boundary data is fulfilled. As an application, we consider a certain general types of nonlinear systems coupled to fluid equations and local well-posedness is established in Hölder spaces.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: primary 35K61, secondary 76D07.
Keywords: Stokes system, Initial-boundary value problem, Hölder continuous.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the initial and boundary value problem of non-stationary Stokes system in bounded domains with $\mathcal{C}^2$ boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. To be more precise, we consider

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F} + f, \qquad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \qquad \text{in } \ Q_T := \Omega \times [0,\, T] \tag{1.1}$$

CK-Aug6-10

with initial condition and boundary condition

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \qquad u(x,t) = \phi(x,t) \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \times [0,T], \tag{1.2}$$

CK-Aug6-20

where vector field f and tensor $\mathcal{F} = (F_{ij})$ are given external forces. Here we assume that the compatibility conditions hold

$$\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0, \qquad u_0(x) = \phi(x,0) \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega, \qquad \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi(x,t) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \ \text{ for all } \ t \in [0,T], \tag{1.3}$$

CK-Aug29-10

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$.

Our main objective of this paper is to establish well-posedness of the Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) in the Hölder spaces, $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)$.

We recall some known results related to our concerns. In case $u_0 \in C^s(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$, $f \in C^{s-2,\frac{s}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3_+ \times (0,T))$, $\mathcal{F} = 0$ and $\phi \in C^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0,T))$ for $2 < s < 3$, Solonnikov showed in [23] that a unique solution of the Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) exists so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\dot{C}^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))} \leq c\Big(&\|u_0\|_{\dot{C}^s(\mathbb{R}^3_+)} + \|\phi\|_{\dot{C}^{s,\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^2\times(0,T))} \\ &+ \|R'(D_t\phi_3)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2;\dot{C}^{\frac{s}{2}}(0,T))} + \|f\|_{\dot{C}^{s-2,\frac{s}{2}-1}(\mathbb{R}^3_+\times(0,T))}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

where R' is $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$-dimensional Riesz transform. Under a weaker assumption on ϕ than that of [23], the first author and Jin [6] proved that in case that $f = 0$, the following estimate holds: for $0 < \alpha < 1$

$$\|u\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|u_0\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|R'u_{0n}\|_{C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + \|\phi\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \|R'\phi_n\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\times(0,T))} + \max\{T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\Big).$$

When Ω is a bounded domain with $C^{2+\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$, Solonnikov[2, 21] showed that if $f = 0$, $\mathcal{F} = 0$ and $\phi \in C(\partial\Omega \times (0,T))$ with $\phi \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, then the solution u of (1.1)-(1.2) is continuous in $\Omega \times (0,T)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))} \le c\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}. \tag{1.4}$$ CK-Oct30-310

The estimate (1.4) was improved by the first author and Choe as following inequality (see [5])

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))} \le c\big(\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))} + \max_{t\in(0,T)} ||\phi\cdot\mathbf{n}(\cdot,t)||_{Dini,\partial\Omega}\big),$$

where for an $r_0 > 0$

$$||f||_{Dini,\partial\Omega} = \sup_{P\in\partial\Omega}\int_0^{r_0}\omega(f)(r,P)\frac{dr}{r}, \qquad \omega(f)(r,P) = \sup_{Q\in B_r(P)\cap\Omega}|f(Q) - f(P)|.$$

There are various literatures for the solvability of the Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2) with homogeneous boundary data, that is, with $\phi = 0$ (see e.g. [11, 17, 18, 19, 22], and references therein). In particular, the following estimate is derived in [22]:

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))} \le c\Big(\|u_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} + T^{\frac12}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n_+\times(0,T))}\Big),$$

where $u_0 \in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ and $f = 0$, $\mathcal{F} = (F_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in C(\mathbb{R}^n_+ \times (0,T))$ with div $u_0 = 0$, $u_0|_{x_n=0} = 0$, $F_{nj}|_{x_n=0} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ (see also [11]).

We compare the system (1.1)-(1.3) to similar situation of the heat equation

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v = \nabla\cdot\mathcal{F} + f \qquad \text{in } \ Q_T := \Omega\times[0,\,T] \tag{1.5}$$ CK-Aug6-30

with initial condition and boundary conditions

$$v(x,0) = v_0(x) \ \text{ in } \ \Omega, \qquad v(x,t) = \phi(x,t) \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega\times[0,T]. \tag{1.6}$$ CK-Aug6-40

If we assume that

$$v_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]), \quad f \in L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T)), \quad \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T]), \tag{1.7}$$ CK-Aug28-10

we then obtain the following estimate:

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \le c\big(\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + T^{1-\frac12\alpha}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))} + T^{\frac12}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])}\big). \tag{1.8}$$ CK-Aug6-50

The estimate (1.8) is probably known to experts, but we show it for clarity in section 2. In fact, we prove more than the above estimate (see Theorem 6 for the details). Definition of Hölder spaces $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ are given in section 2.

Remark 1 *In case that the Dirichlet boundary condition in* (1.6), $v = \phi$ *on* $\partial\Omega \times (0,T)$, *is replaced by the Neumann condition* $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \psi$ *on* $\partial\Omega$, *if* $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{1+\alpha,\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])$ *is assumed, then the same estimate as* (1.8) *can be valid.*

Because of non-local effect for the Stokes system, the estimate (1.8) is not clear. If we assume, however, further additional assumptions for u_0 and ϕ, then the Hölder estimate is available. To be more precisely, if we assume, instead of (1.7), that

$$u_0 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}), \qquad \phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T]), \qquad \phi \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega; \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T]), \tag{1.9}$$

CK-Aug6-60

$$f \in L^\infty(0,T; \mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})), \qquad \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T]), \tag{1.10}$$

CK-Aug28-20

then the similar estimate as (1.8) can be obtained. The details of function spaces $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ and $\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega; \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])$ are also given in section 2.

Our first main result reads as follows:

nthm-Stokes

Theorem 1 *Let* $0 < \alpha < 1$. *Let* $u_0, \phi, f, \mathcal{F}$ *satisfy the conditions* (1.9)-(1.10). *Furthermore,* u_0 *and* ϕ *satisfy the compatibility condition* (1.3). *Then, there exists a unique weak solution* u *of the Stokes equations of* (1.1)-(1.2) *in the class* $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \le c\big(&\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\phi\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} + \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} \\ &+ \max(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} + \max(T, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|f\|_{L^\infty((0,T);\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}))}\big).\end{aligned}$$

The notion of weak solution of the Stokes system in the class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ is given in section 3 (see Definition 7).

We note that $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])$ implies the condition (1.9) with replacement of α by β for any $0 < \beta < \alpha$, since

$$\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta,\frac{1}{2}\beta}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\phi\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\beta}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}.$$

Similarly, we also note that

$$\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\beta_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} \le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})}, \qquad \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta,\frac{1}{2}\beta}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \le c\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])},$$

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\beta_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}))} \le c\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times(0,T))}.$$

Therefore, a direct consequence of Theorem 1 is the following:

llary-Stokes

Corollary 1 *Let* $\alpha \in (0,1)$. *Suppose that* $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$, $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])$, *and* u_0 *and* ϕ *satisfy the compatibility condition* (1.3). *Assume further that* $f, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$. *Then, there exists unique weak solution* u *of* (1.1)-(1.2) *in the class* $\mathcal{C}^{\beta,\frac{1}{2}\beta}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ *for any* $\beta < \alpha$. *Furthermore,* u *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\beta,\frac{1}{2}\beta}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \le c\big(&\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \max(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\beta})\,\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \\ &+ \max(T, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\beta})\,\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that β cannot be extended to α in Corollary 1. To be more precise, there exists a boundary data $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ such that $u \notin \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$, even if u_0, f and F are smooth. This implies that the result in Theorem 1 seems optimal. Our second result is to construct a solution $u \notin \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$ of (1.1)-(1.3) when $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$.

ter-exmaple **Theorem 2** *Theorem 1 is not true, if ϕ is assumed to belong to $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ only.*

As an application, we consider nonlinear types of drift equations coupled fluid equations. Let $\rho : \Omega\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$, $\theta : \Omega\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ and $u : \Omega\times[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy

$$\partial_t\rho + u\cdot\nabla\rho - \Delta\rho = \nabla\cdot F(\rho,\theta,\nabla\theta,u), \tag{1.11}$$ CK-Aug18-9

$$\partial_t\theta + u\cdot\nabla\theta - \Delta\theta = f(\rho,\theta,\nabla\theta,u), \tag{1.12}$$ CK-Aug18-10

$$\partial_t u + u\cdot\nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p = G(\rho,\theta,\nabla\theta,u), \qquad \operatorname{div} u = 0 \tag{1.13}$$ CK-Aug18-20

with initial data ρ_0, θ_0 and u_0. Here $f : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ and $F, G : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ are $\mathcal{C}^1$ scalar and vector valued functions with polynomial growth conditions. To be more precise, we assume that for $(x,y,z,w)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ there exists an integer l with $1\le l<\infty$ such that f, F, and G satisfy

$$|f(x,y,z,w)| + |F(x,y,z,w)| + |G(x,y,z,w)| \le C\left(1+|x|+|y|+|z|+|w|\right)^l, \tag{1.14}$$ CK-Aug17-10

$$|\nabla f(x,y,z,w)| + |\nabla F(x,y,z,w)| + |\nabla G(x,y,z,w)| \le C\left(1+|x|+|y|+|z|+|w|\right)^{l-1}. \tag{1.15}$$ CK-Aug17-10-5

Under our consideration, no-flux boundary conditions are assigned for ρ and θ and no-slip boundary condition of u is assumed, namely

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \qquad u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{1.16}$$ CK-Aug17-11

For nonlinear system (1.11)-(1.16), with the aid of results in Theorem 1, we can also establish local well-posedness in the Hölder spaces. Our last main result reads as follows:

Theorem1 **Theorem 3** *Let the initial data (ρ_0,θ_0,u_0) be given in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})\times\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega})\times\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for $\alpha\in(0,1)$. Assume that F, G and f satisfy the assumption* (1.14)-(1.15). *There exists $T_1>0$ such that a pair of unique solution (ρ,θ,u) for* (1.11)-(1.13) *with* (1.16) *can be constructed in the class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T_1])\times\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T_1])\times\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T_1])$.*

Remark 2 *The result of Theorem 3 could be applicable to various types of concrete equations involving fluid motions. For an specific example, the Keller-Segel-Navier-Stokes equations, a mathematical model describing the dynamics of a certain bacteria living in fluid and consuming oxygen, can be considered. For such model we can establish local well-posedness in the Hölder space as a consequence of Theorem 3 (see section 4 for more details).*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Hölder estimates of solutions for the heat equations are computed. Section 3, 4 and 5 are devoted to providing the proofs of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, respectively. Some technical lemmas are proved in Appendix.

2 Preliminaries

We first introduce the notation and present preparatory results that are useful to our analysis. We start with the notation. Let Ω be an open domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The letter c is used to represent a generic constant, which may change from line to line, and $c(*,\cdots,*)$ is considered a positive constant depending on $*,\cdots,*$. We introduce a homogeneous Hölder space in Ω with exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$, denoted by $\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, defined by

$$\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) := \{f \in L^1(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} := \sup_{x,y\in\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} < \infty\}.$$

Usual Hölder space with exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, is specified as

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) := \left\{f \in L^1(\Omega) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty\right\}.$$

Furthermore, we introduce following function classes

$$\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{f \in \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} := \sup_{x,y\in\overline{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x)-f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}\,\eta(|x-y|)} < \infty\},$$

where $\eta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is increasing Dini continuous, namely $\int_0^1 \frac{\eta(r)}{r} dr < \infty$. Similarly, we define $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})$ by

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}) = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} := \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} + \|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty\}.$$

In case of non-stationary function $f \in L^1(\Omega\times(0,T))$, we recall a seminorm of f, which is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in spatial and temporal variable, denoted by $\|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])}$, indicated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} &:= \|f\|_{L^{\infty}((0,T);\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}))} + \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}([0,T]))}\\ &= \sup_t \sup_{x,y} \frac{|f(x,t)-f(y,t)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} + \sup_x \sup_{t,s} \frac{|f(x,t)-f(x,s)|}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

We also remind an Hölder space with exponent $\alpha \in (0,1)$ in $\Omega\times(0,T)$, written as $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$, which is given by

$$\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T]) := \left\{f \in L^1 \,:\, \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega\times(0,T))} + \|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} < \infty\right\}.$$

Let η be a increasing Dini-function defined above. To treat non-zero boundary data under our considerations, we also introduce a function class $\dot{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])$ defined by

$$\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T]) := \left\{f \mid \sup_{P,Q\in\partial\Omega} \frac{\|f(P,\cdot)-f(Q,\cdot)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T]}}{\eta(|P-Q|)} < \infty\right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0,1)$$

equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} := \sup_{P,Q\in\partial\Omega} \frac{\|f(P,\cdot)-f(Q,\cdot)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T]}}{\eta(|P-Q|)}$, which is equivalently as

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} = \sup_{P,Q\in\partial\Omega} \sup_{s,t\in[0,T]} \frac{|f(P,t)-f(P,s)-f(Q,t)+f(Q,s)|}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\eta(|P-Q|)}.$$

For our purpose, as a limiting case of $\alpha = 0$, we introduce

$$L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})) := \{f \mid \sup_t \sup_{x,y\in\bar{\Omega}} \frac{|f(x,t)-f(y,t)|}{\eta(|x-y|)} < \infty\}.$$

We recall some estimates of heat equations in following lemmas.

proheat1 **Lemma 4** *Let $\alpha \in (0,\infty)$, $0 < T < \infty$ and $u_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector field, which belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We set $W(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-z,t)u_0(z)dz$, where Γ is the heat kernel. Then, $W \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])$ and W satisfies*

$$\|W\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.1}$$ CK-Aug5-10

Furthermore, if $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary, then

$$\|W\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.2}$$ CK-June17-310-1

Proof. Since the estimates in (2.1) are well-known, we omit its details (see e.g. [15]) and we just show the estimate (2.2). Indeed, using $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we compute for $P, Q \in \partial\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned}
&W(P,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - W(P,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - W(Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + W(Q,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\Gamma(z,t)-\Gamma(z,s)\Big)\Big(u_0(P-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - u_0(Q-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\Big)dz\\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Big(\Gamma(z,t)-\Gamma(z,s)\Big)\Big(u_0(P-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - u_0(P)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - u_0(Q-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + u_0(Q)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\Big)dz.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second equality, we used $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\Gamma(z,t)-\Gamma(z,s))dz = 0$ for all $0 < s$, t. We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|u_0(P-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - u_0(P)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - u_0(Q-z)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + u_0(Q)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)|\\
&= |\big(u_0(P-z)-u_0(P)\big)\cdot\big(\mathbf{n}(P)-\mathbf{n}(Q)\big) - \big(u_0(P-z)-u_0(P)-u_0(Q-z)+u_0(Q)\big)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\
&\le c\Big(\|u_0\|_{\dot{c}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}|P-Q| + \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\eta(|P-Q|)\Big)|z|^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $s < t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|W(P,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - W(P,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - W(Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + W(Q,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)|\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Gamma(z,t)-\Gamma(z,s)||z|^\alpha dz\big(\eta(|P-Q|)+|P-Q|\big)\\
&\le \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_s^t |D_\tau\Gamma(z,\tau)|d\tau|z|^\alpha dz\big(\eta(|P-Q|)+|P-Q|\big)\\
&\le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_s^t \tau^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha-1}d\tau\big(\eta(|P-Q|)+|P-Q|\big)\\
&\le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\big(\eta(|P-Q|)+|P-Q|\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

For notational convention, we denote for a measurable function f in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$

$$\Lambda_0(f)(x,t) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y, t-s) f(y,s) dy ds, \tag{2.3}$$ CK-Aug19-20

where Γ is the heat kernel.

Next, we also present estimates of heat equation with external force with zero initial. It may be probably well-known to experts, we present its details in the Appendix for reader's convenience.

lemm4 **Lemma 5** *Let $T > 0$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])$ and $\Lambda_0(f)$ be defined in (2.3). Then,*

$$\|\Lambda_0(f)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le cT^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T))}, \tag{2.4}$$ 0814infty

$$\|\Lambda_0(f)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le c \max\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\right) \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{2.5}$$ al+0

$$\|\nabla \Lambda_0(f)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{2.6}$$ al+2-2

$$\|\nabla \Lambda_0(f)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \tag{2.7}$$ al+0-2

$$\|\nabla \Lambda_0(f)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha+\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \qquad 0 < \epsilon < 1. \tag{2.8}$$ al+4

Lastly, we consider the initial-boundary value problem of heat equation (1.5)-(1.6). Here we assume that $v_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+k)}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])$, $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$, where k is either 0 or 1. We let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])$ an extension of $f, \mathcal{F}$, respectively, such that $\|\tilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le c\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])}$ and $\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])} \le c\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])}$. Similarly, we denote by $\tilde{v}_0$ the extension of v_0 such that $\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+k)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+k)}(\overline{\Omega})}$.

-domain-100 **Theorem 6** *Let Ω be an bounded domain with $\mathcal{C}^2$ boundary. Suppose that $f, \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$, $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+k)}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])$ and $v_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\psi|_{t=0} = v_0|_{\partial\Omega}$, where $k = 0$ or $k = 1$. Then, there exists a unique solution $v \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+k, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+k)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])$ of (1.5)-(1.6) and v satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])} &\le c\big(\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])} \\ &\quad + T^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (0,T))} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$ CK-Aug20-500

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])} &\le c\big(\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)}(\partial\Omega \times [0,T])} \\ &\quad + \max\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha)}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])} + \|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \times [0,T])}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$ heat-est-400-1

Proof. We only prove the estimate (2.10), since (2.9) can be computed similarly. For convenience, we define

$$w_0(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-y,t)\tilde{v}_0(y)dy.$$

By lemma 4, we have

$$\|w_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\|\tilde{v}_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|v_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\bar{\Omega})}. \tag{2.11}$$ BN-171

Via (2.5) and (2.6), we also obtain

$$\|\Lambda_0(\tilde{f})\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\max\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha)}\right)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])}, \tag{2.12}$$ CK-aug20-600

$$\|D_x\Lambda_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\|\mathcal{F}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])}. \tag{2.13}$$ CK-aug20-700

Therefore, we note that

$$w_0,\ \ \Lambda_0(\tilde{f}),\ \ D_x\Lambda_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]).$$

Next let w_1 be the solution of the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_1 - \Delta w_1 = 0 \quad &\text{in} \quad \Omega\times[0,T], \\ w_1(x,0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad & w_1 = \psi - w_0 - \Lambda_0(\tilde{f}) + D_x\Lambda_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega\times[0,T]. \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that

$$\|w_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \le c\|\psi - w_0 - \Lambda_0(\tilde{f}) + D_x\Lambda_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}. \tag{2.14}$$ CK-aug20-800

With aid of (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), the righthand side of (2.14) can be estimated as in (2.10). Noting that $v = w_0 + w_1 + \Lambda_0(\tilde{f}) - D_x\Lambda_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ is the solution of (1.5)-(1.6) and thus, v satisfies the estimate (2.10). This completes the proof. □

rem-3 **Remark 3** *In case that the boundary condition in* (1.6)*, $v = \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$, is replaced by the Neumann condition $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \psi$ on $\partial\Omega$, if $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{1+k+\alpha,\frac{1}{2}(1+k+\alpha)}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ is assumed, then the same result of Theorem 6 can be obtained.*

3 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we consider the boundary value problem of the following Stokes system (1.1)-(1.2). Let Ω be a $\mathcal{C}^2$ bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. First we introduce the notion of weak solutions for the Stokes system.

defn-Stokes **Definition 7** *Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$, $f \in L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))$, $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$. We say that a vector field u is a weak solution in the class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$ for the Stokes system* (1.1) *with initial boundary condition* (1.2) *if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])$ *and* $\nabla u \in L^\infty_{\rm loc}(\Omega\times(0,T))$.

(ii) For each $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))$ *with* $\mathrm{div}_x\Phi = 0$

$$\int_0^T\int_\Omega \nabla u : \nabla\Phi dxdt = \int_0^T\int_\Omega u\cdot\Phi_t + f\cdot\Phi - \mathcal{F} : \nabla\Phi dxdt$$

(iii) $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$ *in* Ω.

(iv) $u(P,t) = g(P,t)$ *in* $P \in \partial\Omega\times(0,T)$.

For f and $\mathcal{F}$ given in Theorem 1, we denote by $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the extension of f and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively, to $\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T)$ such that $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ have compact supports. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be the Helmholtz projection operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}]_j(x,t) &= \delta_{ij}\tilde{f}_i + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_{x_i}D_{x_j}N(x-y)\tilde{f}_i(y,t)dy = \delta_{ij}\tilde{f}_i + R_iR_j\tilde{f}_i,\\
[\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{div}\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}]_j &= D_{x_k}\Big(\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ki} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ki}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

where R_i is Riesz transform in $\mathbb{R}$.

We define V^1 and V^2 by

$$\begin{aligned}
V^1_j(x,t) &:= \Lambda_0([\mathbb{P}\tilde{f}]_j)(x,t) = \Lambda_0[\delta_{ij}\tilde{f}_i + R_iR_j\tilde{f}_i](x,t),\\
V^2_j(x,t) &:= \Lambda_0([\mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{div}\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}}]_j)(x,t) = -D_x\Lambda_0(\delta_{ij}\tilde{F}_{ki} + R_iR_j\tilde{F}_{ki})(x,t).
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that V^1 and V^2 satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
V^1_t - \Delta V^1 = \mathbb{P}\tilde{f},\quad \mathrm{div}\,V^1 = 0 \qquad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T),\\
V^1|_{t=0} = 0 \qquad &\text{on } \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V^2_t - \Delta V^2 = \mathbb{P}\,\mathrm{div}\,\tilde{\mathcal{F}},\quad \mathrm{div}\ V^2 = 0 \qquad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T),\\
V^2|_{t=0} = 0 \qquad &\text{on } \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since support of $\tilde{f}$ is bounded, we obtain $\|R_iR_j\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$. By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V^1\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} &\le cT^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\tilde{f} + R_iR_j\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\\
&\le cT^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\big(\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times 0,T)} + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\big)\\
&\le cT^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))},\\
\|V^1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} &\le cT\|\tilde{f} + R_iR_j\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\\
&\le cT\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|V^1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\max(T, T^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{3.1}$$ CK-1107-1

Moreover, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&V^1(P,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - V^1(P,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - V^1(Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + V^1(Q,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\
&= \Big(V^1(P,t) - V^1(P,s)\Big)\cdot\Big(\mathbf{n}(P) - \mathbf{n}(Q)\Big) + \Big(V^1(P,t) - V^1(P,s) - V^1(Q,t) + V^1(Q,s)\Big)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\
&:= I_1 + I_2. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

CK1105-2

From (2.4), we get

$$
|I_1| \le c\|V^1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}|P-Q| \le cT^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}|P-Q|. \qquad (3.3)
$$

CK1105-1

And

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2 = &\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\Gamma(P-z,t-\tau) - \Gamma(Q-z,t-\tau)\big)\mathbb{P}[\tilde{f}](z,\tau)dzd\tau\\
&+ \int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\Gamma(P-z,t-\tau) - \Gamma(P-z,s-\tau) - \Gamma(Q-z,t-\tau) + \Gamma(Q-z,s-\tau)\big)\mathbb{P}[\tilde{f}](z,\tau)dzd\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^1 D_z\Gamma(\theta P + (1-\theta)Q - z, t-\tau)\cdot(P-Q)d\theta\mathbb{P}[\tilde{f}](z,\tau)dzd\tau\Big|\\
&\le c|P-Q|\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_s^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}dzd\tau\\
&\le c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The second term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^1\int_0^1 D_\theta D_\gamma\Gamma(\theta P + (1-\theta)Q - z, \lambda t + (1-\lambda)s - \tau)\tilde{f}(z,\tau)d\theta d\lambda dzd\tau\Big|\\
&\le c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)\int_0^1\int_0^s(\lambda t + (1-\lambda)s - \tau)^{-\frac{3}{2}}d\tau d\lambda\\
&\le c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)\int_0^1(\lambda t + (1-\lambda)s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\tau d\lambda\\
&= c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)\big((t-s)^{-1}(t^{\frac{1}{2}} - s^{\frac{1}{2}}) - (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big)\\
&\le c\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
I_2 \le \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (3.4)
$$

CK1105-3

By (3.2)-(3.4), we have

$$
\|V^1\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\max(T^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}))}. \qquad (3.5)
$$

CK-september-00

Next, we estimate V^2. Since $R_i : \dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded, by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|V^2\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} &\le c\max(T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12-\frac12\alpha})\|\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\\ &\le c\max(T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12-\frac12\alpha})\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n))}.\end{aligned}$$

We estimate $\|V^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}$. It is well known that $D_x\Gamma_t \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|D_x\Gamma_t\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le ct^{-\frac12}$, where $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes Hardy space. Since $R_i : BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) \to BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|V^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))} &\le \big(\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\int_0^t |D_x\Gamma(x-y,t)dy| + \int_0^t \|R_iR_j\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(t)\|_{BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|D_x\Gamma_t\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big)\\ &\le ct^{\frac12}\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|V^2\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} \le c\max(T^{\frac12}, T^{\frac12-\frac12\alpha})\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))}. \tag{3.6}$$ CK-september-00

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&V^2(P,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - V^2(P,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) - V^2(Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) + V^2(Q,s)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\ &= (V^2(P,t)-V^2(P,s))\cdot(\mathbf{n}(P)-\mathbf{n}(Q)) + (V^2(P,t)-V^2(P,s)-V^2(Q,t)+V^2(Q,s))\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\\ &:= II_1 + II_2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$ CK1105-4

By (2.7), we get

$$II_1 \le c\|V^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac12\alpha}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac12\alpha}|P-Q| \le cT^{\frac12}\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|t-s|^{\frac12\alpha}|P-Q|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla_z\Gamma(z,t-\tau)\big(\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](P-z,\tau)-\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](Q-z,\tau)\big)dzd\tau\\ &\quad+\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\nabla_z\Gamma(z,t-\tau)-\nabla_z\Gamma(z,s-\tau)\big(\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](P-z,\tau)-\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](Q-z,\tau)\big)dzd\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$ CK1105-5

The first term is dominated by

$$|P-Q|^\alpha\|\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_s^t(t-\tau)^{-\frac12}dzd\tau \le c\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|^\alpha(t-s)^{\frac12}.$$

The second term is

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^1\int_0^1 D_\gamma\Gamma(z,\lambda t+(1-\lambda)s-\tau)\big(\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](P-z,\tau)-\mathbb{P}[\tilde{\mathcal{F}}](Q-z,\tau)\big)d\lambda dzd\tau\\ &\le c\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|^\alpha\int_0^1\int_0^s(\lambda t+(1-\lambda)s-\tau)^{-\frac32}d\tau d\lambda\\ &\le c\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|^\alpha(t-s)^{\frac12}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|II_2| \le c\|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|P-Q|^\alpha (t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.9}$$ CK1105-6

From (3.7)-(3.9), we have

$$\|V^2\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} \le c\max\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\right)\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))}. \tag{3.10}$$ CK-september-001

Next we treat initial data u_0. Let $\widetilde{u}_0$ be an extension of u_0 satisfying that $\operatorname{div}\widetilde{u}_0 = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Letting v by

$$v(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Gamma(x-y,t)\widetilde{u}_0(y)dy.$$

We observe that v satisfies the equations

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - \Delta v = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} v = 0 \qquad &\text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T),\\ v|_{t=0} = \tilde{u}_0 \qquad &\text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n\times[0,T])} &\le c\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})},\\ \|v\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} &\le c\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote G as

$$G = \phi - V^1|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} - V^2|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} - v|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)}$$

We note that $G|_{t=0} = 0$ if $\phi|_{t=0} = u_0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and also observe that G satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))} \le c\big(&\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \max(T,T^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}))}\\ &+ \max\left(T^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\right)\|\mathcal{F}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))} + \|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})}\big),\\ \|G\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\big(&\|\phi\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} + \max(T^{1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}, T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}))}\big). \end{aligned}$$

We decompose the solution u in (1.1)-(1.2) as the form of $u = V^1 + V^2 + v + w$, where w solves the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w + \nabla\pi = 0, \qquad \Omega\times(0,T),\\ \operatorname{div} w = 0, \qquad \Omega\times(0,T),\\ w|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} = G, \quad w|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$ maineq-2-2

Hence, solving the equations (1.1)-(1.2) is reduced to treat the equations (3.13). For the estimate in Theorem 1, it suffices to obtain that

$$\|w\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\Omega\times[0,T])} \le c\big(\|G\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} + \|G\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big). \tag{3.12}$$ CK-Aug15-200

3.1 Invertibility of boundary integral operators

In this subsection, we will provide the estimate (3.12). Denoting w, π and G in (3.11) by u, q and g, respectively, we consider

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + \nabla q = 0, & \Omega \times (0,T), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \Omega \times (0,T), \\ w|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} = g, \quad u|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$ maineq-2

Due the result of Solonnikov ([20]), the solution of (3.13) can be written in the form

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t) + \nabla\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,t), \tag{3.14}$$ form

where $\mathcal{V}$ is electrostatic potential of a single layer, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,t) = \int_{\partial\Omega} N(x-Q)\Psi(Q,t)dQ, \tag{3.15}$$ potential-V

where N is fundamental solution of Laplace equation. On the other hand, $\mathcal{U}$ is referred as the hydrodynamical potential, which is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,t-s)\Phi(Q,s)dQds. \tag{3.16}$$ potential-U

Here $\mathcal{G}$ is the tensor given by

$$\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t) = -2\frac{\partial\Gamma(x-Q,t)}{\partial\mathbf{n}_Q}\big(I - \mathbf{n}(Q)\otimes\mathbf{n}(Q)\big) + 4\big(\nabla_x - \mathbf{n}(Q)\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\big)q(x,Q,t), \tag{3.17}$$ tensor-g-10

where $\mathbf{n}(Q)$ is unit outer normal vector at $Q \in \partial\Omega$. The corresponding pressure tensor is given as

$$q(x,Q,t) = \int_{\prod(x,Q)} \frac{\partial\Gamma(Z-Q,t)}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\nabla N(x-Z)dZ,$$

where $\prod(x,Q)$ is the layer between the tangent plane at $Q \in \partial\Omega$ and the parallel plane passing through the point x (see [20, pp 115-117]).

We recall some estimates of $\mathcal{G} = (G_{ij})_{i,j=1,2\cdots,n}$ (see section 3 in [14]). Let $P, Q, Z \in \partial\Omega$. We then have for all $0 < \lambda < 1$

$$|G_{ij}(P,Q,t)| \le c_\lambda \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|P-Q|^2+t)^{\frac{n-2\lambda}{2}}}, \tag{3.18}$$ boundary-bounda

$$|G_{ij}(P,Z,t) - G_{ij}(Q,Z,t)| \le c_\lambda \frac{|P-Q|^\lambda}{t^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|P-Z|^2+t)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{2}}}, \quad \text{if } |P-Q| \le \frac{1}{2}|P-Z|. \tag{3.19}$$ boundary-bounda

Let Φ and Ψ satisfy the following condition;

$$\Phi(P,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P) = 0, \qquad \int_{\partial\Omega}\Psi(Q,t)dQ = 0. \tag{3.20}$$ compatible-condi

For convenience, for any vector field h defined on $\partial\Omega$ we denote by h_{tan} the tangential componential of h, i.e. $h_{tan} = h - \mathbf{n}(h\cdot\mathbf{n})$. By the (3.14), we solve the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi + U_{tan}[\Phi] + \nabla_S V[\Psi] &= g_{tan}, \\ \Psi + K^*[\Psi] + \mathbf{n}\cdot U[\Phi] &= g\cdot\mathbf{n} \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

boundarysystem

(see [20, pp 120, (2.26)]). Here, $V[\Psi]$ and $U[\Phi]$ are the direct values of (3.15) and (3.16) on $\partial\Omega$, respectively, i.e.

$$U[\Phi](P,t) = \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(P,Q,t-s)\Phi(Q,s)dQds, \tag{3.22}$$

CK1107-2

$$V[\Psi](P,t) = \int_{\partial\Omega} N(P-Q)\Psi(Q,t)dQ, \qquad P\in\partial\Omega \tag{3.23}$$

CK1107-3

and

$$K^*\Psi(P,t) = p.v\ c_n \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{(P-Q)\cdot\mathbf{n}(P)}{|P-Q|^n}\Psi(Q,t)dQ. \tag{3.24}$$

double-layer

In addition, $\nabla_S V$ indicates the tangential gradient of V on $\partial\Omega$, namely $\nabla_S V = \nabla V - \mathbf{n}\frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{n}}$.

lemma508 **Lemma 8** *Let P, $Q\in\partial\Omega$ and $0<\alpha<1$. There is $\delta=\delta(\alpha)$ with $0<\delta$ such that the tensor $\mathcal{G}$ given in* (3.17) *satisfies*

$$\int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau \le ct^\delta |P-Q|^\alpha, \tag{3.25}$$

CK-August

$$\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau \le c(t-s)^\delta, \qquad 0\le s<t, \qquad P\in\partial\Omega. \tag{3.26}$$

CK-June20-200

Proof. First, we prove (3.25). Let $r=|P-Q|$. Then, we have

$$\int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau = \int_0^t\int_{|P-Z|<2r}\cdots dZd\tau + \int_0^t\int_{|P-Z|>2r}\cdots dZd\tau := I_1+I_2.$$

Via the inequality (3.18), for $0<\lambda<1$ we have

$$I_1 \le c\int_0^t\int_{|Z|<2r} \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|Z|^2+\tau)^{\frac{n-2\lambda}{2}}}dZd\tau \le c\int_0^t \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}\int_{|Z|<2\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}}} \frac{1}{(|Z|^2+1)^{\frac{n-2\lambda}{2}}}dZd\tau.$$

In case that $\alpha<\frac12$, we take λ with $\alpha<\lambda<\frac12$. If $r^2\le t$, then

$$I_1 \le c\int_0^{r^2}\tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}d\tau + c\int_{r^2}^t \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{n-1}d\tau \le cr^\lambda \le ct^{\frac12\lambda-\frac12\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

On the other hand, if $r^2>t$, then we have

$$I_1 \le c\int_0^t \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}d\tau \le ct^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \le ct^{\frac12\lambda-\frac12\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

In case that $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we take λ with $\alpha < \lambda < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. If $r^2 \leq t$, then

$$I_1 \leq c\int_0^{r^2} \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{-1+2\lambda}d\tau + c\int_{r^2}^t \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{n-1}d\tau \leq cr^\lambda \leq ct^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

In case that $r^2 > t$, we get

$$I_1 \leq c\int_0^t \tau^{-1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{-1+2\lambda}d\tau \leq r^{-1+2\lambda}t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \leq ct^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

For I_2, we take $\lambda > \alpha$. Using the estimate (3.19), we have

$$I_2 \leq cr^\lambda \int_0^t \int_{|Z|>2r} \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|Z|^2+\tau)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{2}}}dZd\tau \leq cr^\lambda \int_0^t \tau^{-1}\int_{|Z|>2\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}}} \frac{1}{(|Z|^2+1)^{\frac{n-\lambda}{2}}}dZd\tau.$$

If $r^2 \leq t$, then

$$I_2 \leq cr^\lambda \int_0^{r^2} \tau^{-1}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{-1+\lambda}d\tau + cr^\lambda\int_{r^2}^t \tau^{-1}d\tau \leq cr^\lambda + cr^\lambda|\ln\frac{t}{r^2}| \leq ct^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

For the last inequality, we used the fact of $|\ln\frac{t}{r^2}| \leq c(\frac{t}{r^2})^{\frac{\lambda}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for $\frac{t}{r^2} \geq 1$. On the other hand, if $r^2 > t$, we have

$$I_2 \leq cr^\lambda \int_0^t \tau^{-1}(\frac{r}{\sqrt{\tau}})^{-1+\lambda}d\tau \leq cr^{-1+2\lambda}t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \leq ct^{\frac{1}{2}\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}r^\alpha.$$

It remains to prove (3.26). Due the inequality (3.18), we have

$$\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau \leq c\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|P-Z|^2+\tau)^{\frac{n-2\lambda}{2}}}dZd\tau.$$

Since Ω is a bounded domain, taking $\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 1$, we can see that $\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{1}{(|P-Z|^2+\tau)^{\frac{n-2\lambda}{2}}}dZ < c_\lambda$, and thus, the estimate (3.26) is immediate. □

lemma1 **Lemma 9** *Let U be the hydrodynamical potential in* (3.22)*. Suppose that $\Phi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega; C^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])$ and $\Phi|_{t=0} = 0$. Then, there is $c > 0$ such that*

$$\|U[\Phi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} \leq cT^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}, \tag{3.27}$$ inequality508-1

$$\|U[\Phi]\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} \leq cT^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}, \tag{3.28}$$ inequality508-2

where $\delta > 0$ is the number in Lemma 8.

Proof. We first note, due to (3.26) in Lemma 8, that

$$\|U[\Phi]\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}\int_0^T|\mathcal{G}(\cdot,Q,t)|dQdt \leq cT^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times(0,T))}. \tag{3.29}$$ 1209linfty

We first note, due to (3.25) in Lemma 8, that

$$|U[\Phi](P,t)-U[\Phi](Q,t)| \le c\int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,t-\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,t-\tau)||\Phi(Z,\tau)|dZd\tau$$

$$\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau$$

$$\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}|P-Q|^\alpha t^\delta.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|U[\Phi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} \le cT^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}. \tag{3.30}$$ Gcal

We also obtain for $s<t$

$$U[\Phi](P,t)-U[\Phi](P,s)=\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)\Phi(Z,t-\tau)dZd\tau$$
$$+\int_0^s\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)\big(\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,s-\tau)\big)dZd\tau := I_1+I_2.$$

Since $\Phi(Z,0)=0$, we have

$$|I_1| \le \int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)||\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,0)|dZd\tau$$
$$\le \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau,$$
$$|I_2| \le \int_0^s\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)||\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,s-\tau)|dZd\tau$$
$$\le \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_0^s\int_{\partial\Omega}|\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau.$$

Hence, via (3.26) in Lemma 8, we obtain

$$\|U[\Phi]\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac12\alpha}[0,T])} \le cT^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}. \tag{3.31}$$ 1124

By (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31), we completes the proof of (3.27).

For $s<t$, we note that

$$U[\Phi](P,t)-U[\Phi](P,s)-U[\Phi](Q,t)+U[\Phi](Q,s)$$
$$=\int_s^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\big(\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)\big)\Phi(Z,t-\tau)dZd\tau$$
$$+\int_0^s\int_{\partial\Omega}\big(\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)\big)\big(\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,s-\tau)\big)dZd\tau = I_1+I_2.$$

Again using $\Phi(Z,0)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\le \int_s^t \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)||\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,0)|dZd\tau \\
&\le \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_s^t \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau, \\
|I_2| &\le \int_0^s \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)||\Phi(Z,t-\tau)-\Phi(Z,s-\tau)|dZd\tau \\
&\le \|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_0^s \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(P,Z,\tau)-\mathcal{G}(Q,Z,\tau)|dZd\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.25) in Lemma 8, we obtain (3.28). This completes the proof. □

lemma2 **Lemma 10** *Let V be the electrostatic potential of a single layer given in* (3.23)*. Suppose that $\Psi \in C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]) \cap \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])$. Then, there is $c>0$ such that*

$$
\|\nabla_S V[\Psi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} \le c\big(\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\big). \tag{3.32}
$$

CK-1208-1

Furthermore, if $\int_{\partial\Omega}\Psi(Q,t)dQ=0$ for all $t\in[0,T]$, then

$$
\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} \le c\|(I+K^*)\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}, \tag{3.33}
$$

CK-Aug16-300

$$
\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}}([0,T]))} \le c\|(I+K^*)[\Psi]\|_{\mathcal{C}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}}([0,T]))}. \tag{3.34}
$$

CK-Aug16-400

Proof. Since $\nabla_S V[\Psi]:\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega)\to\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega)$ is bounded, it follows that

$$
\|\nabla_S V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} \le c\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))}. \tag{3.35}
$$

CK-1208-2

Next, we will show that

$$
\|\nabla_S V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega,\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\big(\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} + \|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\big). \tag{3.36}
$$

CK-June21-20

Indeed, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_S V[\Psi](P,t)-\nabla_S V[\Psi](P,s) &= \sum_1^{n-1} T_l(P)\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(P-Z)\cdot(T_l(P)-T_l(Z))}{|P-Z|^n}\big(\Psi(Z,t)-\Psi(Z,s)\big)dZ \\
&\quad + \sum_1^{n-1} T_l(P)\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(P-Z)\cdot T_l(Z)}{|P-Z|^n}\big(\Psi(Z,t)-\Psi(Z,s)\big)dZ := K_1+K_2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T_l(P)$, $1\le l\le n-1$ are tangential vector on $P\in\partial\Omega$. Since $|T_l(P)-T_l(Q)|\le c|P-Q|$, one can easily see the first term K_1 is estimated as

$$
|K_1| \le c\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}|t-s|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}. \tag{3.37}
$$

CK-June21-30

Since $\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(P-Z)\cdot T_l(Z)}{|P-Z|^n}dZ=0$, the second term K_2 can be estimated as follows:

$$
|K_2| = |\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(P-Z)\cdot T_l(Z)}{|P-Z|^n}\big(\Psi(Z,t)-\Psi(Z,s)-\Psi(P,t)+\Psi(P,s)\big)dZ|
$$

$$\leq c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\eta(|P-Z|)}{|P-Z|^{n-1}}dZ\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\leq c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}. \quad (3.38)$$ CK-June21-40

Adding up (3.37) and (3.38), we deduce (3.36).

Using the same argument, we get

$$\|\nabla_S V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))}\leq c\big(\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))}+\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\big). \quad (3.39)$$ CK-June21-100

Hence, from (3.35), (3.36) and (3.39), we complete the proof of (3.32).

It remains to show the estimates (3.33)-(3.34). Since Ω is a $\mathcal{C}^2$ domain, $K^*:\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)\to\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)$ is compact operator, where

$$\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega):=\{\Psi\in\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega)\,|\,\int_{\partial\Omega}\Psi=0\}.$$

Since $I+K^*:\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega)\to\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega)$ is injective, by Fredhlom operator theory, $I+K^*:\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega)\to\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\sigma,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega)$ is bijective operator. Using the same argument, we note that $I+K^*:\mathcal{C}^\alpha_\sigma(\partial\Omega)\to\mathcal{C}^\alpha_\sigma(\partial\Omega)$ and $I+K^*:L^\infty_\sigma(\partial\Omega)\to L^\infty_\sigma(\partial\Omega)$ are bijective operators. Hence, for Ψ satisfying $\int_{\partial\Omega}\Psi=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega)}&\leq c\|(I+K^*)\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega)},\\ \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)}&\leq c\|(I+K^*)[\Psi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)},\\ \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}&\leq c\|(I+K^*)[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $s,t\in[0,T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi(t)-\Psi(s)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}&\leq c\|(I+K^*)[\Psi(t)-\Psi(s)]\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)},\\ \|\Psi(t)-\Psi(s)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)}&\leq c\|(I+K^*)[\Psi(t)-\Psi(s)]\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

The above estimates immediately imply (3.33)-(3.34). This completes the proof. □

By Lemma 9, we have

$$\|U\|_{\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\to\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])},\ \|U\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta 0}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\to\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta 0}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\leq cT^\delta, \quad (3.40)$$ 1124-1

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])&=\{f\in\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\,|\,f|_{t=0}\},\\ \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta 0}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])&=\{f\in\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\,|\,f|_{t=0}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $cT^\delta<1$, the operators $I+U_{tan}:\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\to\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ and $I+U_{tan}:\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta 0}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])\to\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta 0}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ are bijective. Therefore, we have that there is $T_0>0$ such that for $T\leq T_0$ and Ψ satisfying $\Psi|_{t=0}=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}&\leq c\|\Phi+U_{tan}[\Phi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])},\\ \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}&\leq c\|\Phi+U_{tan}[\Phi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$ lemma3

prop1 **Proposition 1** *Let $T<\infty$. Suppose that $g\in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ with $g\cdot\mathbf{n}\in \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T])$, and satisfying the condition $\int_{\partial\Omega} g(Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)dQ=0, \quad \forall t\in(0,T)$, then, the system (3.21) has a unique solution $\Phi,\ \Psi\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$, $\Psi\in\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])$ with the conditions (3.20). Furthermore, (Φ,Ψ) satisfies the following inequality:*

$$\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\le c\big(\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}\big),$$

where $c=c(T)$.

Proof. Let $T\le T_0$, where T_0 is a constant defined (3.41). By (3.41), we solve the following equation:

$$\Phi_1+U_{tan}[\Phi_1]=g_{tan}$$

and Φ_1 satisfies

$$\Phi_1\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]),\qquad \|\Phi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\le c\|g_{tan}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}$$

and $\Phi_1\cdot\mathbf{n}=0$. Note that since $\mathcal{U}[\Phi_1]$ is divergence free, $\int_{\partial\Omega}\mathbf{n}\cdot U[\Phi_1]=0$. In the proof of Lemma 10, there is Ψ_1 we solve

$$\Psi_1+K^*[\Psi_1]=g\cdot\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}\cdot U[\Phi_1]$$

and by (3.41) and Lemma 10, $\Psi_1\in\mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ satisfies

$$\|\Psi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\le c\big(\|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+T^\delta\|\Phi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big),$$

$$\|\Psi_1\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T];\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\le c\big(\|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T];\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}+T^\delta\|\Phi_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big).$$

Iteratively, we define (Φ_{m+1},Ψ_{m+1}) for any $m=1,2,\cdots$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{m+1}+U_{tan}[\Phi_{m+1}]&=g_{tan}-\nabla_S V[\Psi_m],\\ \Psi_{m+1}+K^*[\Psi_{m+1}]&=g\cdot\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{n}\cdot U[\Phi_{m+1}].\end{aligned}\tag{3.42}$$ iterate

We then note that Φ_{m+1} satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}&\le c\big(\|g_{tan}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|\nabla_S V[\Psi_m]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big)\\ &\le c\big(\|g_{tan}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|\Psi_m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+\|\Psi_m\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.43}$$ estimatephim+1

where we used Lemma 10. On the other hand, for Ψ_{m+1} we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Psi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}&\le c\big(\|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}+T^\delta\|\Phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big),\\ \|\Psi_{m+1}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}&\le c\big(\|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}+T^\delta\|\Phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.44}$$ estimatepsim+1

where we used (3.41) and Lemma 9.

For uniformly convergence, we denote $\phi_m=\Phi_{m+1}-\Phi_m$ and $\psi_m=\Psi_{m+1}-\Psi_m$. Then, (ϕ_m,ψ_m) solves

$$\phi_{m+1}+U_{tan}[\phi_{m+1}]=-\nabla_S V[\psi_m],$$

$$\psi_{m+1} + K^*[\psi_{m+1}] = -\mathbf{n} \cdot U[\phi_{m+1}]$$

and it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} &\le c\big(\|\psi_m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\psi_m\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T];\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\big),\\
\|\psi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} &\le cT^\delta \|\phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])},\\
\|\psi_{m+1}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T];\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))} &\le cT^\delta \|\phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\phi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} &\le cT^\delta \|\phi_m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])},\\
\|\psi_{m+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\psi_{m+1}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0,T];\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))} &\le cT^\delta\big(\|\psi_m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\psi_m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that there is $T^* > 0$ with $T^* \le T_0$ such that $\{\Phi_m, \Psi_m\}$ converges for some $(\Phi^1, \Psi^1) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*]) \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{D_\eta,0}(\partial\Omega; \mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}([0,T^*]))$. From (3.42), (3.43) and (3.44), (Ψ^1, Ψ^1) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^1 + U_{tan}[\Phi^1] + \nabla_S V[\Psi^1] = g_{tan},\\
&\Psi^1 + K^*[\Psi^1] + \mathbf{n} \cdot U[\Phi^1] = g \cdot \mathbf{n} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \times (0, T^*)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Phi^1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*])} + \|\Psi^1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*])} + \|\Psi^1\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T^*;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\\
&\qquad \le c(T^*)\big(\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*])} + \|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T^*;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

To construct (Φ, Ψ) up to any time T, we introduce h, which is given as

$$h(P,t) = \Phi^1(P,T^*) + \Psi^1(P,T^*)\mathbf{n}(P) + \int_0^{T^*}\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(t+T^*-\tau)\Phi^1(Q,\tau)dQd\tau + \nabla V[\Psi^1(T^*)](P)$$

for $t \in [0, T^*]$ such that $h(P,0) = g(P,T^*)$. For $t \in [0,T^*]$, let us $g^1(P,t) = g(P,T^*+t) - h(P,t)$ such that $g^1 \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*])$ and $g^1\cdot\mathbf{n} \in \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega; \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}([0,T^*]))$. By above argument, there is $(\Phi^2, \Psi^2) \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T^*]) \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{0,D_\eta}(\partial\Omega; \mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}([0,T^*]))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^2 + U_{tan}[\Phi^2] + \nabla_S V[\Psi^2] = h^1_{tan},\\
&\Psi^2 + K^*[\Psi^2] + U[\Phi^2]\cdot\mathbf{n} = h^1\cdot\mathbf{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define (Φ, Ψ) by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(P,t) &= \begin{cases} \Phi^1(P,t), & 0 \le t \le T^*,\\ \Phi^2(P,t-T^*) + \Phi^1(P,T^*), & T^* \le t \le 2T^*, \end{cases}\\
\Psi(P,t) &= \begin{cases} \Psi^1(P,t), & 0 \le t \le T^*,\\ \Psi^2(P,t-T^*) + \Psi^1(P,T^*), & T^* \le t \le 2T^*. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,2T^*])$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,2T^*]) \cap \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{D_\eta}(\partial\Omega; \mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}([0,2T^*]))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi + U_{tan}[\Phi] + \nabla_S V[\Psi] = g_{tan},\\
&\Psi + K^*[\Psi] + U[\Phi]\cdot\mathbf{n} = g\cdot\mathbf{n}, \quad \text{in} \quad \partial\Omega\times[0,2T^*].
\end{aligned}$$

We repeat the above procedure until we reach any given time T. This completes the proof. □

3.2 Global estimates

Next, we estimate the global estimates of solution of Stokes equations.

June21-1000 **Proposition 2** *Let* $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])$ *and* $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]) \cap \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))$ *satisfying* (3.20). *Suppose that* $\mathcal{U}[\Phi]$ *and* $\mathcal{V}[\Psi]$ *are defined in* (3.16) *and* (3.15). *Then,*

$$\|\mathcal{U}[\Phi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}\times[0,T]))} \le c\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}, \tag{3.45}$$ CK-June21-8000

$$\|\nabla\mathcal{V}[\Psi]\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega\times[0,T])} \le c\left(\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\right). \tag{3.46}$$ CK-June21-820

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega$. Choose $P_x \in \partial\Omega$ satisfying $\delta(x) = |x - P_x|$. Using the rotation and translation, we may assume that $x = (0, x_n)$, $P_x = 0$ and $\delta(x) = x_n$. We recall that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t)| &\le c_\lambda \frac{\delta(x)^\lambda}{t^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|x-Q|^2+t)^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \quad 0<\lambda<1 \\ |D_x\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t)| &\le \frac{c}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n^2+t)^{\frac{1}{2}}(|x-Q|^2+t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$ 509inequality-2

(see [13] and [20]). From the first inequality of (3.47), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U[\Phi](x,t)| &\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))}\int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\delta(x)^\lambda}{\tau^{\frac{1+\lambda}{2}}(|x-Q|^2+\tau)^{\frac{n}{2}}}dQd\tau \\ &\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega\times(0,T))}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of (3.45), we first show that

$$\sup_{(x,t)\in\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T]} \delta^{1-\alpha}(x)\,|\nabla_x\mathcal{U}(x,t)| \le c\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T]))}. \tag{3.48}$$ weight

It is known (see e.g. [12, Theorem 4.1] and [9, Theorem 1.4]) that the estimate (3.48) implies

$$\|\mathcal{U}[\Phi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))} \le c\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}. \tag{3.49}$$ CK-June21-800

Since $\Phi(P,0) = 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} D_x\mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t) &= \int_0^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\nabla_x\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t-s)\big(\Phi(Q,\tau)-\Phi(P_x,\tau)\big)dQd\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\nabla_x\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t-s)\big(\Phi(P_x,\tau)-\Phi(P_x,t)\big)dQd\tau \\ &\quad + \Phi(P_x,t)\int_{-\infty}^t\int_{\partial\Omega}\nabla_x\mathcal{G}(x,Q,t-s)dQd\tau := I_1+I_2+I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Referring to [14, (4.5)], we note that there exists a small $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|I_3| \le c_\epsilon x_n^{-\epsilon}\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\partial\Omega)}.$$

Noting that for $Q \in \partial\Omega$, $|x-Q|^2 \approx x_n^2 + |P_x - Q|^2$ and using (3.47), we estimate I_1 and I_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n^2+t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{|P_x-Q|^\alpha}{(|P_x-Q|^2+x_n^2+t-\tau)^{\frac{n}{2}}} dQd\tau \\
&\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} \int_0^t \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n^2+\tau)^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} d\tau \le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} x_n^{-1+\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| &\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_n^2+t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{(t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(|P_x-Q|^2+x_n^2+t-\tau)^{\frac{n}{2}}} dQd\tau \\
&\le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x_n^2+t-\tau)} d\tau \le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} x_n^{-1+\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up above estimates, we obtain (3.48).

Let $h > 0$ and we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t+h) - \mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t) \\
&= \int_0^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,t+h-\tau)\Phi(Q,\tau)dQd\tau - \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,t-\tau)\Phi(Q,\tau)dQd\tau \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,\tau)\big(\Phi(Q,t+h-\tau)-\Phi(Q,t-\tau)\big)dQd\tau + \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,\tau)\Phi(Q,t+h-\tau)dQd\tau \\
&:= I_1 + I_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1| &\le h^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} \int_0^t \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(x,Q,\tau)|dQd\tau \\
&\le ch^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}t^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}, \\
|I_2| &\le |\int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{G}(x,Q,\tau)\big(\Phi(Q,t+h-\tau)-\Phi(Q,0)\big)dQd\tau| \\
&\le h^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} \int_t^{t+h} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\mathcal{G}(x,Q,\tau)|dQd\tau \\
&\le ch^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(t+h)^\delta\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$|\mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t+h) - \mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t)| \le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} h^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{U}[\Phi]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\|\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}. \tag{3.50}$$

CK-June21-810

By (3.49) and (3.50), we obtain (3.45).

It remains to show (3.46). By the well known result of the harmonic function, boundedness of $K^* : L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega)) \to L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))$ and (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\mathcal{V}[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))} &\le c\|\nabla\mathcal{V}[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))}\\ &\le c\big(\|\nabla_S V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))} + \|(I+K^*)[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\partial\Omega))}\big)\\ &\le c\left(\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $t \neq s$, we note that

$$\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,t) - \nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,s) = \nabla_x\int_{\partial\Omega} N(x-Q)\big(\Psi(Q,t)-\Psi(Q,s)\big)dQ. \tag{3.51}$$ interior1031

By maximum principle of the harmonic function, we have

$$|\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,t) - \nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,s)| \le \sup_{Q\in\partial\Omega}|\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t) - \nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,s)|.$$

We note that

$$\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t) = \sum_1^{n-1}\big(\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t)\cdot T_l(Q)\big)T_l(Q) + \big(\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q)\big)\mathbf{n}(Q).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t)\cdot T_l(Q) &= p.v\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(Q-Z)\cdot T_l(Q)}{|Q-Z|^n}\Psi(Z,t)dZ,\\ \nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t)\cdot\mathbf{n}(Q) &= (I+K^*)[\Psi](Q,t).\end{aligned}$$

Here $K^*[\Psi](Q,t)$ is defined in (3.24). Since Ω is a smooth domain, it is known that

$$|K^*[\Psi(\cdot,t)-\Psi(\cdot,s)](Q)| \le c\|\Psi(\cdot,t)-\Psi(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}.$$

Since $\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(Q-Z)\cdot T_l(Z)}{|Q-Z|^n}dZ = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(\nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,t) - \nabla_x\mathcal{V}[\Psi](Q,s))\cdot T_l(Q)\\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(Q-Z)\cdot(T_l(Q)-T_l(Z))}{|Q-Z|^n}\big(\Psi(Z,t)-\Psi(Z,s)\big)dZ\\ &+\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(Q-Z)\cdot T_l(Z)}{|Q-Z|^n}\big(\Psi(Z,t)-\Psi(Q,t)-\Psi(Z,s)+\Psi(Q,s)\big)dZ\\ &\le c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega))} + |t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{\eta(|Q-Z|)}{|Q-Z|^{n-1}}dZ\\ &\le c|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\big(\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega))} + \|\Psi\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\nabla_x V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} = \sup_{t,s\in[0,T]}\frac{\|\nabla_x\psi(\cdot,t)-\nabla_x\psi(\cdot,s)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}}{|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}} \le \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}.$$

This completes the proof. □

rem-4 **Remark 4** *Let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega \,|\, \mathrm{dist}\,(x, \mathrm{supp}\,\Psi)) \geq \delta\}$ for $\delta > 0$. Then, from (3.51), we can obtain*

$$\|\nabla_x V[\Psi]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\delta;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])} \leq c_\delta \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}[0,T])}.$$

This implies

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}_\delta\times[0,T])} \leq c_\delta\big(\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])} + \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,T])}\big).$$

Summarizing the above results, we obtain the following.

es-boundary **Theorem 11** *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega \times (0,T))$ such that $g \cdot \mathbf{n} \in \dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))$ and $\int_{\partial\Omega} g(Q,t)\mathbf{n}(Q)dQ = 0$. Then, there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\Omega \times [0,T])$ of the Stokes system*

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla P = 0, \quad \mathrm{div}\, u = 0, \qquad in \;\; \Omega \times (0,T)$$
$$u|_{\partial\Omega\times(0,T)} = g, \qquad u(x,0) = 0.$$

Furthermore, u satisfies

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} \leq c\big(\|u_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} + \|u_0\|_{\dot{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})} + \|g\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}\times[0,T])} + \|g\cdot\mathbf{n}\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\dot{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))}\big). \quad (3.52)$$ CK-June21-1100

Proof. From Proposition 1, there is $(\Phi,\Psi) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\partial\Omega) \times \mathcal{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(0,T;\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\partial\Omega))$ such that

$$\Phi + U_{tan}[\Phi] + \nabla_S V[\Psi] = g_{tan},$$
$$\Psi + K^*[\Psi] + \mathbf{n}\cdot U[\Phi] = g\cdot\mathbf{n}.$$

Let $u(x,t) = \mathcal{U}[\Phi](x,t) + \mathcal{V}[\Psi](x,t)$. Then, the estimate (3.52) of u is consequences of Proposition 2. This completes the proof. □

As mentioned earlier, results of Theorem 1 is direct due to Theorem 11. Since its verification is direct, we omit its details.

4 Construction of an example in Theorem 2

example In this section, we construct an example, which shows that the condition of boundary data in Theorem 1 is crucial.

Proof of Theorem 2

We consider the Stokes system (1.1) in two dimensions. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and a part of boundary is flat and it contains, via translation, the open unit interval, e.g. $\{x_1 \in \mathbb{R} : |x_1| < 2\}$. We let $g = (g_1, g_2) : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that g_1 is identically zero, that is $g_1 = 0$, and g_2 is defined by

$$g_2(x_1,t) = (|x_1|^2 + |t|)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\left(\arctan\frac{x_1^\alpha}{t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}}\right)\left(\arctan\frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}}{x_1^\alpha}\right)\chi_{\{x_1>0\}}(x_1)\chi_{\{t>0\}}(t)\phi(x_1),$$

where $\phi \in C_c^\infty(-1,1)$ with $\phi \equiv 1$ in $(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$. Clearly, g is supported in $B_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $g \in C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ (See Theorem 1.4 in [9]). However, we can see that $g \notin \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_\eta}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$.

Indeed, suppose that $g \in \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_{\eta_0}}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ for some Dini-continuous function η_0. Note that $\liminf_{r\to 0}\eta_0(r) = 0$. Taking $x_1 = t^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|g\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{D_{\eta_0}}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})} &\geq \frac{|g(0,0) - g(0,s) - g(x_1,0) + g(x_1,t)|}{t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\eta_0(x_1)} \\ &= \frac{g(t^2,t)}{t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\eta_0(t^2)} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}\eta_0(t^2)} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\eta_0(t^2)} \to \infty \qquad \text{as } t\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

We consider the Stokes system in a half-space with boundary data g and the solution $u = (u^1, u^2)$ is represented by (see [13] and [20])

$$u^i(x,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{2}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t-s)g_j(y_1,s)dy_1 ds, \qquad i = 1,2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}K_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t) = &-2\delta_{ij}D_{x_n}\Gamma(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t) - L_{ij}(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t) \\ &+ \delta_{j2}\delta(t)D_{x_i}N(x_1 - y_1, x_2), \qquad i,j = 1,2\end{aligned}$$

with

$$L_{ij}(x,t) = D_{x_j}\int_0^{x_2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{z_2}\Gamma(z,t)D_{x_i}N(x-z)dz, \qquad i,j = 1,2.$$

From Remark 4, we obtain that $u \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\partial\Omega\times[0,1])$. This completes the proof.

Here the tangential component of u, i.e. u^1, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}u^1(x,t) &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{12}(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t-s)g_2(y_1,s)dy_1 ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{y_1}N(x_1 - y_1, x_2)g_2(y_1,t)dy_1 \\ &= -\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} L_{21}(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t-s)g_2(y_1,s)dy_1 ds - \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{x_2}\Gamma(x_1 - y_1, x_2, t-s)Hg_2(y_1,s)dy_1 ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{y_1}N(x_1 - y_1, x_2)g_2(y_1,t)dy_1 := I_1(x,t) + I_2(x,t) + I_3(x,t),\end{aligned}$$

where H is a Hilbert transform defined as

$$Hg(y_1) = p.v.\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{y_1 - z_1}g(z_1)dz_1 = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{|y_1 - z_1|>\epsilon}\frac{1}{y_1 - z_1}g(z_1)dz_1.$$

It can be checked that $I_1 \in C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [6]) and so we obtain $|I_1(0,x_2,t) - I_1(0,0,0)| \leq c(x_2^2 + t)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}$. Hence, we have

$$|u^1(0,x_2,t) - u^1(0,0)| = |u^1(0,x_2,t)| \geq |I_2(0,x_2,t) + I_3(0,x_2,t)| - c(x_2^2+t)^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}.$$

Now, we estimate $|I_2(0,x_2,t)|$. Since g_2 is a function in Holder continuous and has compact support in $x_1 \in (0,1)$, Hg_2 is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ and $|Hg_2(y_1)| \leq c|y_1|^{-1}$ for $|y_1| \geq 1/2$. For $|y_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$Hg_2(y_1,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{y_1 - z_1}g_2(z_1,\tau)dz_1 = \int_0^{|y_1|}\cdots dz_1 + \int_{|y_1|}^{2|y_1|}\cdots dz_1 + \int_{2|y_1|}^{1}\cdots dz_1. \qquad (4.1)$$

CK-Oct29-10

Here, using change of variable, the first and second terms are estimated as follows:

$$\int_0^{|y_1|}\cdots dz_1+\int_{|y_1|}^{2|y_1|}\cdots dz_1=\int_0^{|y_1|}\frac{1}{z_1}(g_2(y_1-z_1,\tau)-g_2(y_1+z_1,\tau))dz_1$$

$$\le c\int_0^{|y_1|}\frac{1}{z_1}z_1^\alpha dz_1\approx|y_1|^\alpha.$$

It remains to estimate the third term in (4.1). Firstly, in case that $\tau<(2|y_1|)^2$, we obtain

$$\int_{2|y_1|}^1\frac{1}{y_1-z_1}g_2(z_1,\tau)dz_1\approx\int_{2|y_1|}^1\frac{1}{y_1-z_1}(|z_1|^2+\tau)^{\frac12\alpha}\left(\arctan\frac{z_1^\alpha}{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}\right)\left(\arctan\frac{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}{z_1^\alpha}\right)dz_1$$

$$\approx-\int_{2|y_1|}^1\frac{1}{z_1}(|z_1|^\alpha+\tau^{\frac12\alpha})\frac{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}z_1^\alpha}{d}z_1\approx-\tau^{\frac12\alpha}\big(-\ln|y_1|+\tau^\alpha|y_1|^{-\alpha}\big).\tag{4.2}$$ CK1107-5

On the other hand, if $\tau>(2|y_1|)^2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{2|y_1|}^1\frac{1}{y_1-z_1}g_2(z_1,\tau)dz_1&=\int_{2|y_1|}^{\tau^{\frac12}}\frac{1}{y_1-z_1}(|z_1|^2+\tau)^{\frac12\alpha}\left(\arctan\frac{z_1^\alpha}{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}\right)\left(\arctan\frac{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}{z_1^\alpha}\right)dz_1\\&\quad+\int_{\tau^{\frac12}}^1\frac{1}{y_1-z_1}(|z_1|^2+\tau)^{\frac12\alpha}\left(\arctan\frac{z_1^\alpha}{\tau^{\frac\alpha2}}\right)\left(\arctan(\frac{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}{z_1^\alpha}\right)dz_1\\&\approx-\int_{2|y_1|}^{\tau^{\frac12}}\frac{1}{z_1}(|z_1|^\alpha+\tau^{\frac12\alpha})\frac{z_1^\alpha}{\tau^{\frac\alpha2}}dz_1-\int_{\tau^{\frac12}}^1\frac{1}{z_1}(|z_1|^\alpha+\tau^{\frac12\alpha})\frac{\tau^{\frac12\alpha}}{z_1^\alpha}dz_1\\&=-\big(\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\tau^{\frac12\alpha}-\tau^{-\frac12\alpha}|y_1|^{2\alpha})-\frac{1}{\alpha}|y_1|^\alpha-\tau^{\frac12\alpha}\ln\tau^{\frac12}\big).\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$ CK1107-8

For $x_1=0$ and $x_2>0$, we get

$$I_2(0,x_2,t)=\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}D_2\Gamma(-y_1,x_2,t-s)Hg_2(y_1,s)dy_1ds=J_1+J_2,$$

where

$$J_1:=\int_0^t\int_{|y_1|\ge\frac12}D_2\Gamma(-y_1,x_2,t-s)Hg_2(y_1,s)dy_1ds,$$
$$J_2:=\int_0^t\int_{|y_1|\le\frac12}D_2\Gamma(-y_1,x_2,t-s)Hg_2(y_1,s)dy_1ds.$$

Noting that $\int_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{s}}}^\infty e^{-y_1^2}\frac{1}{y_1}dy_1ds\le ce^{-\frac4s}$ for $s\le1$ and $e^{-a}\le c_ka^{-k}$ for $a,k>0$, we have

$$J_1\le2\int_0^t\int_{\frac12}^\infty D_2\Gamma(y_1,x_2,t-s)\frac{1}{y_1}dy_1ds\le c\int_0^t\frac{x_2}{s^2}e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}}\int_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{s}}}^\infty e^{-y_1^2}\frac{1}{y_1}dy_1ds$$
$$\le c\int_0^t\frac{x_2}{s^2}e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}}e^{-\frac4s}ds\le c\int_0^t\frac{x_2}{s^2}e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}}s^kds.$$

Using the change of variables ($\eta := \frac{x_2^2}{s}$) and taking $k = \frac{\alpha+1}{2} < 1$, we have

$$J_1 \le c \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} x_2^{2k-1} \eta^{-k} e^{-\eta} d\eta \le c x_2^{\alpha}.$$

Next, from (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_0^t \int_{|y_1| \le \frac{1}{2}} D_2\Gamma(y_1, x_2, s) H g_2(y_1, t-s) dy_1 ds \\ &\approx -\int_0^t \frac{x_2}{s^2} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} \Big(\int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{y_1^2}{s}} \big(s^{-\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(s^{\alpha} - y_1^{2\alpha}) + (s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} - y_1^{\alpha}) - s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \ln\, s^{\frac{1}{2}} + s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \big) dy_1 ds \\ &\quad - \int_{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}^1 e^{-\frac{y_1^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \big(\ln\, y + s^{\alpha} y_1^{-\alpha} \big) dy_1 \Big) ds := J_2^1 + J_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

J_2^1 is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^1 &\le c \int_0^t \frac{x_2}{s^2} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} |\ln\, s| \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{y_1^2}{s}} dy_1 ds \\ &= c \int_0^t \frac{x_2}{s^2} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} |\ln\, s| s^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 e^{-y_1^2} dy_1 ds \\ &= c \int_0^t x_2 e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}} |\ln\, s| ds \\ &= c x_2^{\alpha} \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} |\ln \frac{x_2^2}{s}| e^{-s} ds \\ &\le c x_2^{\alpha} \big(|\ln x_2| \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} e^{-s} ds + \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} |\ln s| e^{-s} ds \big). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, J_2^2 is estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} J_2^2 &\le c \int_0^t \frac{x_2}{s^2} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} \int_{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}^1 e^{-\frac{y_1^2}{s}} |\ln\, y_1| dy_1 ds \\ &= c \int_0^t \frac{x_2}{s^2} e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_1^{s^{-\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-y_1^2} |\ln\, s^{\frac{1}{2}} y_1| dy_1 ds \\ &\le c \int_0^t x_2 e^{-\frac{x_2^2}{s}} s^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha - \frac{3}{2}} |\ln\, s| ds \\ &= c x_2^{\alpha} \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} |\ln \frac{x_2^2}{s}| e^{-s} ds \\ &\le c x_2^{\alpha} \big(|\ln x_2| \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} e^{-s} ds + \int_{\frac{x_2^2}{t}}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha} \ln s e^{-s} ds \big). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $x^2 \le t$, we have

$$|I_2| \le c x_2^{\alpha} |\ln x_2|.$$

Now, we estimate I_3.

$$I_3(0,x_2,t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{z_1}{z_1^2+x_2^2}g_2(z_1,t)dz_1=\int_0^{x_2}\cdots dz_1+\int_{x_2}^{2x_2}\cdots dz_1+\int_{2x_2}^{1}\cdots dz_1. \tag{4.4}$$ CK-Oct29-100

Again, due to the change of variable, the first and second terms are estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{x_2}\cdots dz_1+\int_{x_2}^{2x_2}\cdots dz_1&=\int_0^{x_2}\frac{z_1}{z_1^2+x_2^2}(g(y_1-z_1,\tau)-g(y_1+z_1,\tau))dz_1\\&\le c\int_0^{x_2}\frac{1}{z_1}z_1^{\alpha}dz_1\approx x_2^{\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

In case that $t>(2x_2)^2$, the last term in (4.4) is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{2x_2}^{1}\frac{z_1}{z_1^2+x_2^2}g(z_1,t)dz_1&=\int_{2x_2}^{t^{\frac12}}\frac{z_1}{z_1^2+x_2^2}(|z_1|^2+t)^{\frac12\alpha}\arctan(\frac{z_1}{t^{\frac12}})^{\alpha}\arctan(\frac{t^{\frac12}}{z_1})^{\alpha}dz_1\\&\quad+\int_{t^{\frac12}}^{1}\frac{z_1}{z_1^2+x_2^2}(|z_1|^2+t)^{\frac12\alpha}\arctan(\frac{z_1}{t^{\frac12}})^{\alpha}\arctan(\frac{t^{\frac12}}{z_1})^{\alpha}dz_1\\&\approx-\int_{2x_2}^{t^{\frac12}}\frac{1}{z_1}(|z_1|^{\alpha}+t^{\frac12\alpha})(\frac{z_1}{t^{\frac12}})^{\alpha}dz_1-\int_{t^{\frac12}}^{1}\frac{1}{z_1}(|z_1|^{\alpha}+t^{\frac12\alpha})(\frac{t^{\frac12}}{z_1})^{\alpha}dz_1\\&\ge c\big(t^{\frac12\alpha}|\ln t|-x_2^{\alpha}-t^{\frac12\alpha}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $x_2^2\le t$, we have

$$|I_3(0,x_2,t)|\ge c\big(t^{\frac12\alpha}|\ln t|-x_2^{\alpha}-t^{\frac12\alpha}\big).$$

Summing up above estimates, for $x_2\le t^{\frac12}\ll 1$, we obtain

$$|I_2(0,x_2,t)+I_3(0,x_2,t)|\ge c\big(t^{\frac12\alpha}|\ln t|-x_2^{\alpha}|\ln x_2|-x_2^{\alpha}-t^{\frac12\alpha}\big).$$

Therefore, we conclude that $u_1\notin C^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(Q_1^+)$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_1\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac12\alpha}(Q_1^+(0,0))}&=\sup_{x,t}\frac{|u(x,t)-u(y,s)|}{(|x-y|^2+|t-s|)^{\frac12\alpha}}\ge c\sup_{x_1=0,x_2=t<\frac12}\frac{|u(0,x_2,t)-u(0,0)|}{(x_2^2+t)^{\frac12\alpha}}\\&\ge c\sup_{t<\frac12}\frac{t^{\frac12\alpha}|\ln t|-t^{\alpha}|\ln t|-t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{t^{\frac12\alpha}}=\infty.\end{aligned}$$

□

5 Proof of Theorem 3

iteration

In this section, We present the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3

We introduce function classes $X(\Omega)$ and $X(Q_T)$ defined as follows:

$$X^\alpha(\Omega) := \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega}) \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega}), \qquad X^\alpha(Q_T) := \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q_T}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1,\frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{Q_T}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q_T})$$

with norms

$$\|(\rho,\theta,u)\|_{X^\alpha(\Omega)} := \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})} + \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{\Omega})} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})},$$
$$\|(\rho,\theta,u)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} := \|\rho\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\overline{Q_T})} + \|\theta\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}(\overline{Q_T})} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{\alpha}{2}}_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{Q_T})}.$$

Let $(\rho_0,\theta_0,u_0) \in X^\alpha(\Omega)$. We consider

$$\rho^1_t - \Delta\rho^1 = 0, \quad \theta^1_t - \Delta\theta^1 = 0, \quad u^1_t - \Delta u^1 + \nabla p^1 = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u^1 = 0 \qquad \text{in } \ Q_T$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$\rho^1(x,0) = \rho_0(x), \qquad \theta^1(x,0) = \theta_0(x), \qquad u^1(x,0) = u_0(x), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\frac{\partial\rho^1}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial\theta^1}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \qquad u^1 = 0 \qquad \text{on } \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T), \tag{5.2}$$

By Theorem 1, Theorem 6 and Remark 3, we get

$$\|(\rho^1,\theta^1,u^1)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} \le c\|(\rho_0,\theta_0,u_0)\|_{X^\alpha(\Omega)}.$$

For $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ we define iteratively by $(\rho^{m+1},\theta^{m+1},u^{m+1})$ a solution of the following equations in Q_T:

$$\rho^{m+1}_t - \Delta\rho^{m+1} = -\operatorname{div}(u^m\rho^m) + \nabla\cdot\mathcal{F}^m,$$
$$\theta^{m+1}_t - \Delta\theta^{m+1} = -u^m\cdot\nabla\theta^m + f^m,$$
$$u^{m+1}_t - \Delta u^{m+1} + \nabla p^{m+1} = -\nabla\cdot(u^m\otimes u^m) + G^m, \qquad \operatorname{div} u^{m+1} = 0$$

with the same boundary and initial conditions as (5.1) and (5.2). Here $\mathcal{F}^m$, f^m and G^m denote

$$\mathcal{F}^m := F(\rho^m,\theta^m,\nabla\theta^m,u^m), \quad f^m := f(\rho^m,\theta^m,\nabla\theta^m,u^m), \quad G^m := G(\rho^m,\theta^m,\nabla\theta^m,u^m).$$

We fix $T > 0$, which will be specified later. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\rho^{m+1},\theta^{m+1},u^{m+1})\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)}\\
&\le c\Big(\|(\rho_0,\theta_0,u_0)\|_{X^\alpha(\Omega)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u^m\rho^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathcal{F}^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)}\\
&\quad + \max(T^{\frac{1}{2}},T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\|u^m\cdot\nabla\theta^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)} + \max(T^{\frac{1}{2}},T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\|f^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)}\\
&\quad + \max(T^{\frac{1}{2}},T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|u^m\otimes u^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)} + \max(T,T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\alpha})\|G^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Let $M_0 = \frac{c}{2}\|(\rho_0,\theta_0,u_0)\|_{X^\alpha(\Omega)}$, where c is the constant in (5.3). Suppose that M is a number with $M > M_0$ such that

$$\|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^m)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} < M.$$

We then see that

$$\|u^m\rho^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)} + \|u^m\cdot\nabla\theta^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)} + \|u^m\otimes u^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)}$$

$$\le \|u^m\|_{C^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)}\|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^m)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} \le cM^2, \tag{5.4}$$

CK-aug-100

$$\begin{aligned}&\|F^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(Q_T)} + \|f^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(Q_T)} + \|G^m\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\alpha,\frac{1}{2}\alpha}(Q_T)}\\ &\le c\left(\|\nabla\mathcal{F}^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} + \|\nabla f^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} + \|\nabla G^m\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)}\right)\|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^m)\|_{X(Q_T)}\\ &\le c\|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^m)\|^l_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} \le cM^l,\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

CK-aug-110

Taking T sufficiently small, due to (5.4)-(5.5), we obtain

$$\|(\rho^{m+1},\theta^{m+1},u^{m+1})\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} < M \tag{5.6}$$

CK-aug23-500

Iteratively, we conclude that (5.6) holds for all m.

Next we will show that the sequence (ρ^m,θ^m,u^m) are Cauchy in $X^\alpha(\overline{Q}_T)$ for a $T>0$. For convenience, we set

$$\varrho^m = \rho^m - \rho^{m-1}, \quad \Theta^m = \theta^m - \theta^{m-1}, \quad U^m = u^m - u^{m-1}, \quad P^{m-1} = p^m - p^{m-1}.$$

We then see that (ϱ^m,Θ^m,U^m) solve the following system:

$$\begin{aligned}\varrho_t^{m+1} - \Delta\varrho^{m+1} &= \mathrm{div}\left(\varrho^m u^{m-1} + \rho^m U^m\right) + \nabla\cdot(F^m - F^{m-1}),\\ \Theta_t^{m+1} - \Delta\Theta^{m+1} &= U^m\nabla\theta^m + u^{m-1}\nabla\Theta^m + f^m - f^{m-1},\\ U_t^{m+1} - \Delta U_t^{m+1} - \Delta U^m + \nabla P^m &= -\mathrm{div}\left(u^m\otimes U^m + U^m\otimes u^{m-1}\right) + G^m - G^{m-1},\end{aligned}$$

with following initial and boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial\varrho^{m+1}}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial\Theta^{m+1}}{\partial\mathbf{n}} = 0, \quad U^{m+1} = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial\Omega\times(0,T),$$

and initial zero conditions, namely $\varrho^{m+1}(x,0) = \Theta^{m+1}(x,0) = U^{m+1}(x,0) = 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\varrho^{m+1},\Theta^{m+1},U^{m+1})\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} &\le c\max(T,T^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\Big(\|(\varrho^m,\Theta^m,U^m)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)}\Big)\times\\ &\Big(\|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^{m-1})\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} + \|(\rho^m,\theta^m,u^m)\|^{l-1}_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} + \big\|(\rho^{m-1},\theta^{m-1},u^{m-1})\big\|^{l-1}_{X^\alpha(Q_T)}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Choosing sufficiently small T, we obtain

$$\|(\varrho^{m+1},\Theta^{m+1},U^{m+1})\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)} \le \frac{1}{2}\|(\varrho^m,\Theta^m,U^m)\|_{X^\alpha(Q_T)}.$$

Via the argument of contraction mapping, the constructed sequence is indeed convergent in $X^\alpha(Q_T)$, provided that T is sufficiently small. Let (ρ,θ,u) be the limit of (ρ^m,θ^m,u^m). Then, it is direct that (ρ,θ,u) is the unique solution of (1.11)-(1.16). Since its verification is rather standard, we skip its details. □

As an application of Theorem 3, we establish local well-posedness in Hölder spaces for a mathematical model describing the dynamics of oxygen, swimming bacteria, and viscous incompressible fluids in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Such a model was proposed by Tuval et al.[24], formulating the dynamics of swimming bacteria, *Bacillus subtilis*, which is given as

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t n + u\cdot\nabla n - \Delta n = -\nabla\cdot(\chi(c)n\nabla c),\\ \partial_t c + u\cdot\nabla c - \Delta c = -k(c)n, & \text{in } Q_T,\\ \partial_t u + u\cdot\nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla p = -n\nabla\phi, \quad \nabla\cdot u = 0\end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

CK-Aug3-30

where $c(t,\,x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $n(t,\,x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $u(t,\,x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p(t,x) : Q_T \to \mathbb{R}$ denote the oxygen concentration, cell concentration, fluid velocity, and scalar pressure, respectively. Here $\mathbb{R}^+$ indicates the set of non-negative real numbers.

The nonnegative functions $k(c)$ and $\chi(c)$ denote the oxygen consumption rate and the aerobic sensitivity, respectively, i.e. $k, \chi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $k(c) = k(c(x,t))$ and $\chi(c) = \chi(c(x,t))$. Initial data are given by $(n_0(x), c_0(x), u_0(x))$ with $n_0(x)$, $c_0(x) \geq 0$ and $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$.

There are many known results for the system (5.7) regarding existence, regularity and asymptotics. We do not recall previous results but give some list of reference (see e.g. [3], [4], [7], [8], [10], [16], [24], [25], [26]). As far as the authors' concerned, local-wellposedness in Hölder spaces is not known for the system (5.7) and it is, however, a direct consequence of Theorem 3. Since c is uniformly bounded by maximum principle, non-linear terms satisfy (1.14) and (1.15) with $l = 2$. Therefore, as an easy consequence of Theorem 3, for the system (5.7) we have the following:

corollary1 **Theorem 12** *Let the initial data (n_0, c_0, u_0) be given in $\mathcal{C}^\alpha((\overline{\Omega})) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1}((\overline{\Omega})) \times \mathcal{C}^\alpha_{\mathcal{D}_\eta}(\overline{\Omega})$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ with $n_0 \geq 0$ and $c_0 \geq 0$. Assume that χ, k, χ', k' are all non-negative and χ, $k \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $k(0) = 0$, $\|\nabla^l \phi\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} < \infty$ for $1 \leq |l| \leq m$. There exists $T > 0$ such that unique solutions (n, c, u) of (5.7) exist in the class $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+1, \frac{1}{2}\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}(\overline{Q}_T) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\alpha}(\overline{Q}_T)$ for any $t < T$.*

The result of Theorem 12 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3, and we skip its details.

Appendix

In this Appendix, we present the proof of Lemma 5.

Proof of Lemma 5

First, we prove the estimate (2.5). Direct computations show that

$$|D_x\Lambda_0(f)(x,t) - D_y\Lambda_0(f)(y,t)| = \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_z\Gamma(z, t-\tau)\big(f(x-z,\tau) - f(y-z,t-\tau)\big)dzd\tau \right|$$

$$\leq c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}|x-y|^\alpha \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}}d\tau$$

$$\leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}}|x-y|^\alpha \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.8}$$ CK-Aug20-100

Hence, we have

$$\|\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{C}^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{c}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.9}$$ CK-Aug19-30

On the other hand, for $s < t$, we obtain

$$|\Lambda_0(f)(x,t) - \Lambda_0(f)(x,s)| \leq \left| \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x-z, t-\tau) f(z,\tau)dzd\tau \right|$$

$$+ \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big(\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau) - \Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)\big) f(z,\tau)dzd\tau \right| = I_1 + I_2.$$

We first estimate I_1.

$$I_1 \le \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Gamma(z,t-\tau)dzd\tau$$

$$= \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}(t-s) \le T^{\frac12-\frac12\alpha}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,T))}(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac12}.$$

For I_2, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau)-\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)\big)dz=0$, we have

$$I_2 = \left|\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau)-\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)\big)\big(f(z,\tau)-f(x,\tau)\big)dzd\tau\right|$$

$$\le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x-z|^\alpha|\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau)-\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)|dzd\tau$$

$$\le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|x-z|^\alpha\int_s^t|D_\theta\Gamma(x-z,\theta-\tau)|d\theta dzd\tau$$

$$\le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_0^s\int_s^t(\theta-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}d\theta d\tau \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\big(t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}-s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}\big).$$

By mean-value theorem, there is $\xi\in(s,t)$ such that

$$t^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+1}-s^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+1} = (\frac{\alpha}{2}+1)\xi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(t-s) \le cT^{\frac12}(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac12}.$$

Hence, we have

$$I_2 \le cT^{\frac12}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}.$$

Combining above estimates, we obtain

$$\|\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac12+\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le \max(T^{\frac12},T^{\frac12-\frac{\alpha}{2}})\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\mathcal{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}. \tag{5.10}$$ CK-june17-110

Via (5.9) and (5.10), we obtain (2.5).

We prove the estimate (2.7). Due to (5.8), it is direct that

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le T^{\frac12}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.11}$$ CK-Aug20-110

Therefore, it suffices to show

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])} \le T^{\frac12}\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,T])}. \tag{5.12}$$ CK-Aug20-120

Indeed, we note that for $s<t$,

$$|\nabla\Lambda_0(f)(x,t)-\nabla\Lambda_0(f)(x,s)| \le \left|\int_s^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\nabla_x\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau)f(z,\tau)dzd\tau\right|$$

$$+\left|\int_0^s\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(\nabla_x\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau)-D_x\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)\big)f(z,\tau)dzd\tau\right| = J_1+J_2.$$

We first estimate J_1. Sine $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_z\Gamma(z,\tau)dz = 0$ for $\tau > 0$, using change of variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &\le |\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} D_z\Gamma(z,t-\tau)\big(f(x-z,\tau) - f(x,\tau)dzd\tau| \\
&\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}| \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D_z\Gamma(z,t-\tau)||z|^\alpha dzd\tau \\
&\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}| \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}} d\tau \\
&\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
&\le T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big(D_x\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau) - D_x\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)\big)dz = 0$, we estimate J_2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-z|^\alpha |D_x\Gamma(x-z,t-\tau) - D_x\Gamma(x-z,s-\tau)|dzd\tau \\
&\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-z|^\alpha \int_s^t |D_\theta D_x\Gamma(x-z,\theta-\tau)|d\theta dzd\tau \\
&\le \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^s \int_s^t (\theta-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{3}{2}} d\theta d\tau \\
&= \|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))} \int_0^s \big((s-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} - (t-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}\big)d\tau \\
&\le cT^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}(t-s)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the estimate (5.12), and therefore, together with (5.11), we obtain (2.7).

Next, we prove the estimate (2.6). Let $\hat{f}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the Fourier transform of $f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the *Schwartz space* on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the dual space of the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix a Schwartz function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $\hat{\psi}(\xi) > 0$ on $\frac{1}{2} < |\xi| < 2$, $\hat{\psi}(\xi) = 0$ elsewhere, and $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi) = 1$ for $\xi \neq 0$. Let

$$\widehat{\psi_j}(\xi) := \widehat{\psi}(2^{-j}\xi), \qquad (j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots).$$

Note that

$$\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \,|\, \sup_{-\infty<j<\infty} 2^{\alpha j}\|f * \psi_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty\},$$

where $*$ is convolution in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\Psi = \psi_{-1} + \psi_0 + \psi_1$ and $\Psi_j(\xi) = \Psi(2^{-j}\xi)$ such that $supp\,\Psi_j \subset \{2^{-j-2} < |\xi| < 2^{-j+2}\}$ and $\Psi \equiv 1$ in $2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1}$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\nabla\Lambda_0 f * \psi_j}(\xi,t) &= \int_0^t \xi e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi,\tau)\hat{\psi}_j(\xi)d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t \xi\Phi_j(\xi) e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2} \hat{f}(\xi,\tau)\hat{\psi}_j(\xi)d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the L^∞-multiplier norms of $\xi\Phi_j(\xi)e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}$ and $2^j\xi\Phi(\xi)e^{-(t-\tau)2^{2j}|\xi|^2}$ are same (see Theorem 6.1.3 in [1]). By Lemma 13, the L^∞-multiplier norm of $\xi\Phi(\xi)e^{-(t-\tau)2^{2j}|\xi|^2}$ is dominated by $e^{-\frac{1}{8}(t-\tau)2^{2j}}$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla\Lambda_0 f * \psi_j(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\le \int_0^t \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi\Phi_j(\xi)\widehat{e^{-(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}\hat{f}\hat{\psi}_j})(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}d\tau \\ &\le \int_0^t 2^j e^{-\frac{1}{8}(t-\tau)2^{2j}}\|(f*\psi_j)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}d\tau \\ &\le 2^{(1-\alpha)j}\int_0^t e^{-\frac{1}{8}(t-\tau)2^{2j}}\|f(\tau)\|_{\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)}d\tau \\ &\le 2^{-(1+\alpha)j}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}\int_0^{2^{2j}t} e^{-\frac{1}{8}\tau}d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$ CK-Sep11-100

Hence, we have

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0 f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha+1}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.14}$$ CK-Aug28-100

By the same argument of (5.12), we have

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}}[0,T])} \le c\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\times\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}[0,]))}. \tag{5.15}$$ CK-Aug20-120-3

By (5.14) and (5.15), we obtain (2.6).

For (2.8), note that for $\epsilon < 1$, we have

$$\int_0^{2^{2j}t} e^{-\frac{1}{8}\tau}d\tau \le c\int_0^{2^{2j}t}\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}d\tau = c(2^{2j}t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}.$$

Hence, from (5.13), we have

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0 f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^{\alpha+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.16}$$ CK-Aug28-100-1

By the same argument of (5.12), we have

$$\|\nabla\Lambda_0(f)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n;\dot{C}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}[0,T])} \le cT^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}\|f\|_{L^\infty(0,T;\dot{\mathcal{C}}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n))}. \tag{5.17}$$ CK-Aug20-120-3-

By (5.16) and (5.17), we obtain (2.8). This completes the proof. □

multiplier2 **Lemma 13** *Let $\rho_{tj}(\xi) = \xi\Psi_j(\xi)e^{-t|\xi|^2}$ for each integer j. Then $\rho_{tj}(\xi)$ is a $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$-multiplier with the finite norm $M(t,j)$. Moreover for $t > 0$*

$$M(t,j) \le ce^{-\frac{1}{4}t2^{2j}}\sum_{0\le i\le n} t^i 2^{2ij} \le ce^{-\frac{1}{8}t2^{2j}}. \tag{5.18}$$ multiplier2_2

Proof. The $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$-multiplier norms $M(t,j)$ of $\rho_{tj}(\xi)$ is equal to the $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$-multiplier norm of $\rho_{tj}^{'}(\xi) := 2^j\xi\Psi^{'}(\xi)e^{-t2^{2j}|\xi|^2}$, $\Psi^{'}(\xi) = \xi\Psi(\xi)$(See Theorem 6.1.3 in [1]). Now, we make use of

the Lemma 6.1.5 of [1]. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_n) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^n$. Since $supp\ (\Psi') \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \frac{1}{4} < |\xi| < 4\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\xi^\beta \rho_{tj}'(\xi)| &\le c \sum_{0 \le i \le |\beta|} t^i 2^{2ij} e^{-\frac{1}{4} t 2^{2j}} \chi_{\frac{1}{4} < |\xi| < 4}(\xi) \\ &\le c e^{-\frac{1}{8} t 2^{2j}} \chi_{\frac{1}{4} < |\xi| < 4}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where χ_A is the characteristic function on a set A. Hence, applying Lemma 6.1.5 of [1], we completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements

T. Chang is partially supported by NRF-2014R1A1A3A04049515 and K. Kang is partially supported by NRF-2014R1A2A1A11051161 and NRF20151009350.

References

[1] J. Bergh and J. Lofström, *Interpolation spaces, An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(1976).

[2] M. Sh. Birman, S. Hildebrandt, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Uraltseva, Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics and Rlated Topics. I, International Mathematical Series (New York), 1. Kluwer Academic 2002.

[3] M. Chae, K. Kang and J. Lee, *On Existence of the smooth solutions to the Coupled Chemotaxis-Fluid Equations*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. A, 33(6); 2271–2297(2013).

[4] M. Chae, K. Kang and J. Lee, *Global existence and temporal decay in Keller-Segel models coupled to fiuid equations*, to appear in Comm. Partial Differential Equations.

[5] T. Chang and H. Choe, *Maximum modulus estimate for the solution of the Stokes equations,* J. Differential Equations **254**, no. 7, 2682-2704(2013).

[6] T. Chang and B. Jin, *Initial and Boundary Value Problem of the unsteady Navier-Stokes system in the half space with HÖlder continuous boundary data* , **433**, J. Math. Anal. Appl. no.2, 1846-1869(2016).

[7] A. Chertock, K. Fellner, A. Kurganov, A. Lorz, and P. A. Markowich, *Sinking, merging and stationary plumes in a coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: a high-resolution numerical approach*, J. Fluid Mech., 694; 155–190(2012).

[8] R. Duan, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, *Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations*, Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 35(9); 1635–1673(2010).

[9] E. Fabes, O. Mendez and M. Mitrea, *Boundary Layers on Sobolev-Besov Spaces and Poisson's Equation for the Laplacian in Lipschitz Domains* , J. of Funct. Anal, **159**, 323-368(1998).

[10] M.D. Francesco, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, *Chemotaxis-fluid coupled model for swimming bacteria with nonlinear diffusion: global existence and asymptotic behavior*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Syst. A, 28(4); 1437-53(2010).

[11] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, and Y. Shimizu, *On estimates in Hardy spaces for the Stokes flow in a half space*, Math. Z. 231, no. 2, 383-396(1999).

[12] D. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet Problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. of Funct. Anal, **130**, 161-219 (1995).

[13] K. Kang, *On boundary regularity of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Comm. Partial. Differential Equations, **29**, no 7-8, 955-987(2004).

[14] H. Koch and V. A. Solonnikov, *L_p-Estimates for a solution to the nonstationary Stokes equations,* Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 106, No.3, 3042-3072(2001).

[15] O.A. Ladyženskaja, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uralceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, (Russian) Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1968.

[16] A. Lorz, *Coupled chemotaxis fluid model*, Math. Models and Meth. in Appl. Sci., 20(6):987–1004, 2010.

[17] P. Maremonti, *Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems in the half-space: existence and uniqueness of solutions non converging to a limit at infinity,* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 39, 176-240, 362(2008); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 159, no. 4, 486-523(2009).

[18] P. Maremonti and G. Staria, *On the nonstationary Stokes equations in half-space with continuous initial data*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI. 33, 118-167, 295(2003); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 127, no. 2, 1886-1914(2005).

[19] V.A. Solonnikov, *Estimates for solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations,* Zap. Naučn. Sem. LOMI. 38, 153-231(1973); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 8, no. 4, 467-529(1977).

[20] V. A, Solonnikov, *On the theory of nonstationary hydrodinamic potential* , Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics, The Navier-Stokes equadtions: Theory and numerical methods, 113-129(2002).

[21] V. A. Solonnikov, *On the theory of nonstationary hydrodynamic potentials.* The Navier-Stokes equations: theory and numerical methods(Varenna, 2000), 113129, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 223, Dekker, New York, 2002.

[22] V.A. Solonnikov, *On nonstationary Stokes problem and Navier-Stokes problem in a half-space with initial data nondecreasing at infinity*, Function theory and applications. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 114, no. 5, 1726-1740(2003).

[23] V.A. Solonnikov, *An initial-boundary value problem for a generalized system of Stokes equations in a half-space*, Zap.Naučn. Sem. POMI. 224-275, 271(2000); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 115, no. 6, 2832-2861(2003).

[24] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. W. Wolgemuth, J. O. Kessler, and R. E. Goldstein, *Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines,* PNAS, 102(7); 2277–2282, 2005.

[25] M. Winkler, *Global large data solutions in a chemotaxis-(Navier-)Stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops* Comm. Partial Diff. Equations, 37(2);319–351, 2012.

[26] M. Winkler, *Stabilization in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal, 211(2);455-487, 2014.

Tongkeun Chang
Department of Mathematics
Chosun University
Kwangju 501-759, Republic of Korea
chang7357@yonsei.ac.kr

Kyungkeun Kang
Department of Mathematics
Yonsei University
Seoul, Republic of Korea
kkang@yonsei.ac.kr